

# Simultaneous distribution of primitive lattice points in convex planar domain

Olga Gorkusha

Institute of Applied Mathematics

Khabarovsk Division, 54 Dzerzhinsky Street

Khabarovsk city, 680000, Russia

E-mail olga.gorkusha007@gmail.com

## Abstract

Let  $\Omega$  denote a compact convex subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  contains the origin as an inner point. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is bounded by the curve  $\partial\Omega$ , parametrized by  $x = r_\Omega(\theta) \cos \theta$ ,  $y = r_\Omega(\theta) \sin \theta$ , where the function  $r_\Omega$  is continuous and piecewise  $C^3$  on  $[0, \pi/4]$ . For each real  $R \geq 1$  we consider the dilation  $\Omega_R = \{(Rx, Ry) | (x, y) \in \Omega\}$  of  $\Omega$ , and the set  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  of all primitive lattice points inside  $\Omega_R$ . The purpose of this paper is the study of simultaneous distribution for lengths of segments connecting the origin and primitive lattice points of  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$ . For every  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ , consider the set  $P(\alpha, \beta, R)$  of fundamental parallelograms for  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  of the shape  $t_1v + t_2w$  with  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ , defined by points  $v = (|v| \cos \theta_v, |v| \sin \theta_v)$ ,  $w = (|w| \cos \theta_w, |w| \sin \theta_w) \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$ , such that  $\frac{|v|}{R} \leq \alpha r_\Omega(\theta_v)$  and  $\frac{|w|}{R} \leq \beta r_\Omega(\theta_w)$ . We establish an asymptotic formula

$$\frac{\#P(\alpha, \beta, R)}{\#\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)} = 2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha [\alpha' + \beta' \geq 1] d\alpha' d\beta' + O(R^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R),$$

where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the value of logical expression.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11L05; Secondary 11H06.

*Key words and phrases*: primitive lattice points, simultaneous distribution.

Author supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Grant 16-51-10018

KO-a.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a compact convex domain in a plane. Using polar coordinates we write

$$\Omega = \{(r, \varphi) \mid 0 \leq r \leq r(\varphi) \leq 1, 0 \leq \varphi \leq \varphi_0 \leq \pi/4\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $r = r(\varphi)$  is continuous on  $[0, \varphi_0]$ . For each real  $R \geq 1$  we consider the domain  $\Omega_R$  consisting of points  $(Rx, Ry)$  with  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  denote the set of primitive integer points of  $\Omega_R$ . We can write  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  as

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R) = \left\{ A_j \in \Omega_R \cap \mathbf{Z}^2 \left| \begin{array}{l} A_j = (x_j, y_j), \text{ g.c.d.}(x_j, y_j) = 1, \\ \theta_j = \arctan\left(\frac{y_j}{x_j}\right), \\ \theta_{j+1} = \arctan\left(\frac{y_{j+1}}{x_{j+1}}\right), \\ \theta_j < \theta_{j+1}, 1 \leq j < N \end{array} \right. \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $N$  denotes the cardinality of  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$ . We say that the points  $A_j$  and  $A_{j+1}$  are *consecutive points*, and we say that the rays which have the vertex at  $(0, 0)$  and pass through  $A_j$  and  $A_{j+1}$  respectively are *consecutive rays*.

Boca F. P., Cobeli C., Zaharescu A. have investigated in [1] the distribution of normalized gaps

$$\frac{N}{2\pi}(\theta_2 - \theta_1), \dots, \frac{N}{2\pi}(\theta_N - \theta_{N-1}) \quad (3)$$

between the angles  $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_N$ . They have obtained an exact formula for this distribution.

A. Ustinov has noted in the paper [2] that the problem of the distribution of values (3) can be easily solved if we know the simultaneous distribution of lengths of segments  $d_j, d_{j+1}$  ( $1 \leq j < N$ ), where  $d_j = \sqrt{x_j^2 + y_j^2}$ . He has established an asymptotic formula for simultaneous distribution of  $d_j, d_{j+1}$  ( $1 \leq j < N$ ) when  $\Omega$  is a triangle:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a triangle with vertices  $(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, \tan(\varphi_0))$  and  $r(\varphi) = 1/\cos(\varphi)$ . Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(R) &= \Phi(R; \varphi_0, \alpha, \beta) = \\ &= \left\{ (A_j, A_{j+1}) \in \mathcal{F}^2(\Omega, R) \left| \begin{array}{l} A_j = (x_j, y_j), \\ d_j \leq \alpha R r(\theta_j), \\ d_{j+1} \leq \beta R r(\theta_{j+1}), \\ \theta_{j+1} \leq \varphi_0, \\ 1 \leq j < \#\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R) \end{array} \right. \right\}, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

$$N_{\varphi_0}(R) = \sum_{j=0}^{\#\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)-1} [\theta_{j+1} \leq \varphi_0]. \quad (5)$$

Then for any  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in [0, \pi/4]$ ,  $R \geq 2$  one has

$$\frac{\#\Phi(R)}{N_{\varphi_0}(R)} = \mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta) + O(R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log^3 R) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta) = 2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^\alpha [\alpha' + \beta' \geq 1] d\alpha' d\beta' = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha + \beta \leq 1, \\ (\alpha + \beta - 1)^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

In the present work we consider a more general situation:

**Theorem 2.** *Let the domain  $\Omega$  be given by (1). Let  $r(\varphi)$  be a real function with three continuous derivatives for  $\varphi \in [0, \varphi_0]$ . Suppose that for  $\varphi \in [0, \varphi_0]$  functions*

$$x(\varphi) = r(\varphi) \cos(\varphi), \quad y(\varphi) = r(\varphi) \sin(\varphi), \quad \Psi(\varphi) = x''(\varphi) - 2x'(\varphi) \tan(\varphi)$$

satisfy the following conditions:

1.  $x'(\varphi) \leq 0, y'(\varphi) \geq 0, |x'(\varphi)|, y'(\varphi) < \infty$ .
2. The equation  $\Psi(\varphi) = 0$  has a finite number of solutions in  $[0, \varphi_0]$ .
3. There is no  $\varphi \in [0, \varphi_0]$  such that  $\Psi(\varphi) = \Psi'(\varphi) = 0$ .

Then for any  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi_0 \in [0, \pi/4]$ ,

$$\frac{\#\Phi(R)}{N_{\varphi_0}(R)} = \mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta) + O(R^{-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R) \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\Phi(R), N_{\varphi_0}(R), \mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta)$  are given by (4) – (6).

**Remark 1.** *In particular case when the equation  $\Psi(\varphi) = 0$  has no solutions in  $[0, \varphi_0]$ , the error term is  $O(R^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})$ .*

In this paper we always assume that the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.

## 2. Formula for $\#\Phi(R)$

**Statement 1.** *For any consecutive points  $A_j = (x_j, y_j), A_{j+1} = (x_{j+1}, y_{j+1})$  of  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  the point  $(x_j + x_{j+1}, y_j + y_{j+1})$  does not lie in  $\Omega_R$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = (x_j + x_{j+1}, y_j + y_{j+1})$  and  $A' = (\frac{x_j + x_{j+1}}{d}, \frac{y_j + y_{j+1}}{d})$ , where  $d = \text{g.c.d.}(x_j + x_{j+1}, y_j + y_{j+1})$ . Suppose that  $A \in \Omega_R$ . Then  $A' \in \Omega_R$  and this means that  $A' \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$ . We observe that the point  $A'$  lies inside the angle generated by consecutive rays, which pass through points  $A_j, A_{j+1}$ . This contradicts (2).  $\square$

**Statement 2.** *If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are non-negative real numbers and  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ , then  $\#\Phi(R) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\Phi(R)$  is a nonempty set. Then there is a pair  $A_j = (x_j, y_j)$ ,  $A_{j+1} = (x_{j+1}, y_{j+1})$  of consecutive elements of  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_j &= \alpha' Rr(\theta_j) \cos(\theta_j) & , & & x_{j+1} &= \beta' Rr(\theta_{j+1}) \cos(\theta_{j+1}), \\ y_j &= \alpha' Rr(\theta_j) \sin(\theta_j) & , & & y_{j+1} &= \beta' Rr(\theta_{j+1}) \sin(\theta_{j+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\alpha' \in [0, \alpha]$  and  $\beta' \in [0, \beta]$ . The condition  $\alpha + \beta < 1$  leads to the conclusion that the point  $A = (x_j + x_{j+1}, y_j + y_{j+1})$  lies below the straight line passing through  $A_j$  and  $A_{j+1}$ . Therefore  $A \in \Omega_R$ . This contradicts Statement 1.  $\square$

**Statement 3.** *For any consecutive points  $A_j = (x_j, y_j)$  and  $A_{j+1} = (x_{j+1}, y_{j+1})$  of  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  we have*

$$x_j y_{j+1} - x_{j+1} y_j = \pm 1.$$

*Proof.* We consider the triangle with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $A_j$ ,  $A_{j+1}$ . According to Statement 1 the triangle does not contain elements of the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ . So the parallelogram with vertices  $(0, 0)$ ,  $A_j$ ,  $A_{j+1}$ ,  $(x_j + x_{j+1}, y_j + y_{j+1})$  is a fundamental parallelogram of the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ . It is known that the area of this parallelogram is equal to  $|x_j y_{j+1} - x_{j+1} y_j|$  and the determinant of the lattice  $\mathbf{Z}^2$  is equal to 1. Hence Statement 3 follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_+(R) &= \left\{ (P, P', Q, Q') \left| \begin{array}{l} P'Q - PQ' = 1, \\ Q \leq Q', P \leq Q, P' \leq Q', P' \leq Q' \tan(\varphi_0), \\ (Q, P) \in \Omega_{\alpha R}, (Q', P') \in \Omega_{\beta R}, (Q + Q', P + P') \notin \Omega_R \end{array} \right. \right\}, \\ \mathcal{T}_-(R) &= \left\{ (P, P', Q, Q') \left| \begin{array}{l} P'Q - PQ' = -1, \\ Q \leq Q', P \leq Q, P' \leq Q', P \leq Q \tan(\varphi_0), \\ (Q, P) \in \Omega_{\beta R}, (Q', P') \in \Omega_{\alpha R}, (Q + Q', P + P') \notin \Omega_R \end{array} \right. \right\} \end{aligned}$$

be sets of 4-tuples  $(P, P', Q, Q') \in \mathbf{Z}^4$ . Then

$$\#\Phi(R) = \#\mathcal{T}(R) = \#\mathcal{T}_-(R) + \#\mathcal{T}_+(R),$$

where  $\mathcal{T}(R) = \mathcal{T}_-(R) \cup \mathcal{T}_+(R)$ .

*Proof.* It follows from definitions of  $\mathcal{T}_-(R)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_+(R)$  that  $\mathcal{T}_-(R) \cap \mathcal{T}_+(R) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $A_j = (x_j, y_j)$ ,  $A_{j+1} = (x_{j+1}, y_{j+1})$  be consecutive points of  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  and  $(A_j, A_{j+1}) \in \Phi(R)$ . By (1), (2), (4) and Statement 1, Statement 3, setting

$$(P, P', Q, Q') = \begin{cases} (y_j, y_{j+1}, x_j, x_{j+1}), & \text{if } x_j \leq x_{j+1}, \\ (y_{j+1}, y_j, x_{j+1}, x_j), & \text{if } x_j > x_{j+1}, \end{cases}$$

we have  $(P, P', Q, Q') \in \mathcal{T}(R)$ . Hence  $\#\Phi(R) \leq \#\mathcal{T}(R)$ .

Conversely, putting

$$(y_j, y_{j+1}, x_j, x_{j+1}) = \begin{cases} (P, P', Q, Q'), & \text{if } (P, P', Q, Q') \in \mathcal{T}_+(R), \\ (P', P, Q', Q), & \text{if } (P, P', Q, Q') \in \mathcal{T}_-(R), \end{cases}$$

we observe that  $A_j = (x_j, y_j)$ ,  $A_{j+1} = (x_{j+1}, y_{j+1})$  are consecutive points of  $\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  and  $(A_j, A_{j+1}) \in \Phi(R)$ . So  $\#\Phi(R) \geq \#\mathcal{T}(R)$ . The desired conclusion follows.  $\square$

Now we are ready to calculate  $\#\mathcal{T}_+(R)$ . In our context we put  $q = Q'$ ,  $u = P'$ ,  $v = Q$ . Then Lemma 1 yields the representation

$$\#\mathcal{T}_+(R) = \sum_{q < R} \sum_{u, v=1}^q \delta_q(uv - 1), \quad (7)$$

where

$$u \leq q \tan(\varphi_0), \quad (q, u) \in \Omega_{\beta R}, \quad (vq, uv - 1) \in \Omega_{\alpha q R}, \quad (q(q+v), u(q+v) - 1) \notin \Omega_{qR}.$$

Here

$$\delta_q(uv - 1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q|(uv - 1), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the indicator function of divisibility by  $q$ .

The domain  $\{(u, v) | (vq, uv - 1) \in \Omega_{\alpha q R}, (q(q+v), u(q+v) - 1) \notin \Omega_{qR}\}$  is bounded by curves

$$\begin{aligned} \{(u, f_1(u))\} &= \{(u, v) | v = \alpha R x(t), u = q \tan(t) + \frac{1}{\alpha R x(t)}, t \in [0, \varphi_0]\}, \\ \{(u, f_2(u))\} &= \{(u, v) | v = R x(t) - q, u = q \tan(t) + \frac{1}{R x(t)}, t \in [0, \varphi_0]\}, \end{aligned}$$

so (7) may be expressed as

$$\#\mathcal{T}_+(R) = \sum_{q < R} \sum_{\substack{u \in (0, q \tan(\varphi_0)] \\ (q, u) \in \Omega_{\beta R}}} \sum_{f_2(u) < v \leq \min\{q, f_1(u)\}} \delta_q(uv - 1).$$

We replace the functions  $f_1(u), f_2(u)$  by functions  $g_1(u, \alpha), g_2(u)$ , which we define by

$$\{(u, g_1(u, \alpha))\} = \{(u, v) | v = \alpha R x(t), u = q \tan(t), t \in [0, \varphi_0]\}, \quad (8)$$

$$\{(u, g_2(u))\} = \{(u, v) | v = R x(t) - q, u = q \tan(t), t \in [0, \varphi_0]\}. \quad (9)$$

This replacing gives the error term  $O(1)$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} S(R, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{q < R} \sum_{u \in I(q, \beta)} \sum_{g_2(u) < v \leq \min\{q, g_1(u, \alpha)\}} \delta_q(uv - 1), \quad (10) \\ I(q, \beta) &= \{u \in (0, q] | (q, u) \in \Omega_{\beta R}, u \leq q \tan(\varphi_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear that

$$\#\mathcal{T}_+(R) = S(R, \alpha, \beta) + O(1). \quad (11)$$

We need the following estimates concerning the number of solutions of congruence  $uv \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  in the domain  $\{(u, v) | u \in (X_1, X_2], v \in (0, f(u))\}$ , obtained by A. Ustinov [3]:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, Y$  be a real non-negative numbers, which do not exceed  $q$ . Then*

$$\sum_{u \in (X_1, X_2]} \sum_{v \in (0, Y]} \delta_q(uv \pm 1) = \frac{Y}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in (X_1, X_2] \\ (q, u) = 1}} 1 + O(R_1[q]),$$

where

$$R_1[q] \ll \sigma(q) \log^2(q+1) \sqrt{q}.$$

Here  $\sigma(q)$  is the number of divisors of  $q$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f(x)$  be a non-negative real function two times differentiable for  $[X_1, X_2]$  ( $0 \leq X_1, X_2 \leq q$ ), whose derivatives satisfy the condition*

$$\frac{1}{A} \ll |f''(x)| \ll \frac{w}{A}$$

for some constants  $A > 0, w \geq 1$ . Then the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{u \in (X_1, X_2]} \sum_{0 < v \leq f(u)} \delta_q(uv \pm 1) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in (X_1, X_2] \\ \text{g.c.d.}(q, u) = 1}} f(u) + O(R_2[q, A, X_2 - X_1]),$$

is valid. Here

$$R_2[q, A, X] \ll_w \sigma^{\frac{2}{3}}(q) X A^{-\frac{1}{3}} + X^\varepsilon (\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{q}).$$

Now we turn to (10). We write  $S(R, \alpha, \beta)$  as

$$S(R, \alpha, \beta) = S'_1(R, \alpha, \beta) + S''_1(R, \alpha, \beta) - S_2(R, \alpha, \beta), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S'_1(R, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{q \leq R} \sum_{u \in I'(q, \alpha, \beta)} \sum_{v \leq q} \delta_q(uv - 1), \\ S''_1(R, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{q \leq R} \sum_{u \in I''(q, \alpha, \beta)} \sum_{v \leq g_1(u, \alpha)} \delta_q(uv - 1), \\ S_2(R, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{q \leq R} \sum_{u \in I(q, \beta)} \sum_{v \leq g_2(u)} \delta_q(uv - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here intervals  $I'(q, \alpha, \beta), I''(q, \alpha, \beta)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I'(q, \alpha, \beta) &= \{u \in I(q, \beta) \mid g_2(u) < q \leq g_1(u, \alpha)\}, \\ I''(q, \alpha, \beta) &= \{u \in I(q, \beta) \mid g_2(u) < g_1(u, \alpha) \leq q\}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2 and the bound  $\sum_{q < R} \sigma(q) \ll R \log R$ , we have

$$S'_1(R, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{q < R} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in I'(q, \alpha, \beta) \\ \text{g.c.d.}(q, u) = 1}} q + O(R^{\frac{3}{2}} \log^3 R). \quad (13)$$

To estimate two other sums  $S''_1(R, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $S_2(R, \alpha, \beta)$  we must consider the fact that for fixed natural  $q$  the second derivatives of  $g_1(u, \alpha)$  and  $g_2(u)$  lie within closed intervals containing zero.

**Lemma 4.** *For  $S''_1(R, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $S_2(R, \alpha, \beta)$  it follows that*

$$\begin{aligned} S''_1(R, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{q < R} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in I''(q, \alpha, \beta) \\ \text{g.c.d.}(q, u) = 1}} g_1(u, \alpha) + O(R^{2-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R), \quad R \rightarrow \infty, \\ S_2(R, \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{q < R} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in I(q, \beta) \\ \text{g.c.d.}(q, u) = 1}} g_2(u, \alpha) + O(R^{2-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R), \quad R \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will prove the lemma for  $S''_1(R, \alpha, \beta)$  only as we can easily adapt the proof below for the sum  $S_2(R, \alpha, \beta)$ . By (8) we conclude that

$$g''_1(u, \alpha) = \frac{\alpha R}{q^2} \cos^4(t) \Psi(t), \quad t = \arctan\left(\frac{u}{q}\right),$$

where the function  $\Psi(t)$  is defined in Theorem 2. This function vanishes at a finite number of points. Without loss of generality we suppose that the

equation  $\Psi(t) = 0$  has only one solution which we denote by  $t_0$ . We denote the corresponding value of the variable  $u$  by  $u_0$ .

If  $t_0 \notin (0, \varphi_0]$ , application of Lemma 2 (with  $A = \frac{q^2}{R}$ ) to inner sums over  $u, v$  of the sum  $S_1''(R, \alpha, \beta)$  gives

$$S_1''(R, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{q < R} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in I''(q, \alpha, \beta) \\ (q, u) = 1}} g_1(u, \alpha) + O(R^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}), \quad (14)$$

since

$$\sum_{q < R} R_2 \left[ q, \frac{q^2}{R}, q \right] \ll R^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}.$$

For this case Lemma 4 is proved.

Let  $t_0 \in (0, \varphi_0]$ . Put

$$u_{max} = \max_{u \in I''(q, \alpha, \beta)} \{u\}, \quad k = \lceil \log_2(u_{max}) \rceil,$$

$$S(q, J) = \sum_{u \in J \cap I''(q, \alpha, \beta)} \sum_{v \leq g_1(u, \alpha)} \delta_q(uv - 1),$$

where  $J$  is the interval.

Let  $\Delta \in (0, 1)$  be a real number to be specified later. We divide the interval  $(0, u_{max}]$  into subintervals  $J^{(0)}, J_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k + 1$ ):

$$J^{(0)} = (u_0 - \Delta q, u_0 + \Delta q] \cap I''(q, \alpha, \beta),$$

$$J_i = \begin{cases} (2^{i-1}, 2^i] \cap I''(q, \alpha, \beta), & \text{if } J^{(0)} = \emptyset, \\ (2^{i-1}, 2^i] \cap I''(q, \alpha, \beta) \setminus (J^{(0)} \cap (2^{i-1}, 2^i]), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(some of these intervals may be empty). For the above reasons we write the sum  $S_1''(R, \alpha, \beta)$  as

$$S_1''(R, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{q < R} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k+1} S(q, J_i) + \sum_{q < R} S(q, J^{(0)}).$$

The set  $\{J_i\}_{i=1}^{k+1}$  has subintervals for which intersections with  $J^{(0)}$  are non-empty. We denote these ones as  $J^{(1)}, J^{(2)}$ . We apply Lemma 2 to  $S(q, J^{(0)})$ , replacing  $g_1(u, \alpha)$  with the constant  $g_1(u_0 - \Delta q, \alpha)$ . As  $|g_1'(u, \alpha)| \ll \frac{R}{q}$ . Then this replacing gives the error term  $O(R\Delta^2)$ . To other sums we apply Lemma 3 with

$$A = \frac{q^2}{R} \cdot \begin{cases} \Delta^{-1} & \text{— for } J^{(1)}, J^{(2)}, \\ q \cdot 2^{-i} & \text{— for } J_i, \text{ not coinciding with } J^{(1)}, J^{(2)}. \end{cases}$$

We obtain

$$S_1''(R, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{q < R} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in I''(q, \alpha, \beta) \\ \text{g.c.d.}(q, u) = 1}} g_1(u, \alpha) + O(R''),$$



where

$$R'' = R_1'' + R_2'', \quad (15)$$

$$R_1'' \ll \sum_{q < R} R_1[q] + \sum_{q < R} R \Delta^2, \quad (16)$$

$$R_2'' \ll \sum_{q < R} \sum_{i < \log q} R_2 \left[ q, \frac{q^3}{R \cdot 2^i}, 2^i \right] + \sum_{q < R} R_2 \left[ q, \frac{q^2}{R \Delta}, \Delta \right]. \quad (17)$$

The sums on the right of (16) may be estimated by  $R^{\frac{3}{2}} \log^3 R$  and  $R^2 \Delta^2$  respectively. Using Lemma 3 we represent the sum in the right hand side of (17) as a sum of three terms  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{q < R} \sum_{j < \log q} \sigma^{\frac{2}{3}}(q) 2^j \left( \frac{R \cdot 2^j}{q^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} + \sum_{q < R} \sigma^{\frac{2}{3}}(q) \Delta \left( \frac{R \Delta}{q^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{q < R} \sum_{j < \log q} 2^{\varepsilon j} \sqrt{\frac{q^3}{R \cdot 2^j}} + \sum_{q < R} \Delta^\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{R \Delta}}, \\ \Sigma_3 &= \sum_{q < R} \sum_{j < \log q} 2^{\varepsilon j} \sqrt{q} + \sum_{q < R} \Delta^\varepsilon \sqrt{q}. \end{aligned}$$

In  $\Sigma_1$  we see that the first term dominates, so we may omit the second term. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &\ll R^{\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{q < R} \sigma^{\frac{2}{3}}(q) q^{-1} \sum_{j < \log q} 2^{\frac{4}{3}j} \ll R^{\frac{1}{3}} \sum_{q < R} \sigma^{\frac{2}{3}}(q) q^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll R^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \sum_{q < R} \sigma(q) \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left( \sum_{q < R} q \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll \\ &\ll R^{1+\frac{2}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R. \end{aligned}$$

Also in  $\Sigma_2$  the second term may be omitted and in the first term the sum over  $q, j$  is restricted to pairs with  $\frac{q^3}{R \cdot 2^j} \geq 1$ . In all intervals  $J_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k+1$ ) we have  $g''(u) \gg \frac{R \cdot \Delta}{q^2}$ , then  $\frac{R \cdot 2^j}{q^3} \gg \frac{R \cdot \Delta}{q^2}$ . So

$$\Sigma_2 \ll \sum_{q < R} \sum_{j < \log q} 2^{\varepsilon j} \sqrt{\frac{q^3}{R \cdot 2^j}} \ll \sum_{q < R} \sum_{j < \log q} 2^{\varepsilon j} \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{R \cdot \Delta}} \ll R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{q < R} q^{1+\varepsilon} \ll R^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As  $\Sigma_3 \ll R^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon}$  we have  $R_2'' \ll R^{1+\frac{2}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R + R^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . From (15) we get an estimate of the error term for  $S_1''(R, \alpha, \beta)$  :

$$R'' \ll R^{\frac{3}{2}} \log^3 R + R^2 \Delta^2 + R^{1+\frac{2}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R + R^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now we have to choose the parameter  $\Delta$  in such a way that  $R^2 \Delta^2 \asymp R^{\frac{3}{2}+\varepsilon} \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then we get  $\Delta = R^{-\frac{1-2\varepsilon}{5}}$ . This gives the result of Lemma 4 for  $S_1''(R, \alpha, \beta)$ .  $\square$

Let  $F(u, q, \alpha)$  denote the function  $F(u, q, \alpha) = \min\{q, g_1(u, \alpha)\} - g_2(u)$ . The relations (12), (13) and Lemma (4) give

$$S(R, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{q < R} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in I(q, \beta) \\ \text{g.c.d.}(q, u) = 1}} F(u, q, \alpha) + O(R^{2-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R). \quad (18)$$

By (8) and (9) we have

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{u \in I(q, \beta) \\ \text{g.c.d.}(q, u) = 1}} F(u, q, \alpha) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\delta | q} \mu(\delta) \sum_{\substack{u \in I(q, \beta) \\ \delta | u}} F(u, q, \alpha).$$

From the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{u \in I(q, \beta) \\ \delta | u}} F(u, q, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^q [u \in I(q, \beta)] F(u, q, \alpha) du + O(q)$$

and relations (8), (9) we have

$$\int_0^q [u \in I(q, \beta)] F(u, q, \alpha) du = q^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ t \leq t_q, t \leq \varphi_0, \frac{R}{q} x(t) - 1 < v \leq \alpha \frac{R}{q} x(t) \right] dv d \tan(t),$$

where the value  $t_q$  is given by  $q = \beta R x(t_q)$ . Now the main term in (18), which we denote as  $S^*(R, \alpha, \beta)$ , can be written in the form

$$S^*(R, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\delta < R} \mu(\delta) S' \left( \frac{R}{\delta} \right), \quad (19)$$

where

$$S'(R) = \sum_{q < R} q \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ t \leq t_q, t \leq \varphi_0, \frac{R}{q} x(t) - 1 < v \leq \alpha \frac{R}{q} x(t) \right] dv d \tan(t).$$

Here we take into account that the remainder  $\sum_{q < R} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\delta | q} q \ll R \log R$  is less than the error term in (18).

To evaluate  $S'(R)$  we change the order of the summation and the integration, then we replace the sum with the integral, taking into account that the error term is of order  $R$ . Thus we have

$$S'(R) = R^2 \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\varphi_0} x^2(t) d \tan(t) \int_0^1 \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} - 1 < v < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right] \left( \min \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{v^2}, \beta^2 \right\} - \frac{1}{(v+1)^2} \right) dv + O(R).$$

Applying Statement 2, we obtain  $S'(R) = R^2 S_\Omega \cdot I(\alpha, \beta) + O(R)$ , where  $I(\alpha, \beta)$  is defined by the formula

$$I(\alpha, \beta) = [\alpha + \beta \geq 1] \cdot [\beta \geq 1/2] \cdot \begin{cases} (\alpha + \beta - 1)^2, & \text{if } \alpha \leq 1/2, \\ 2(\beta - 1/2)^2 - (\alpha - \beta)^2, & \text{if } 1/2 < \alpha \leq \beta, \\ 2(\beta - 1/2)^2, & \text{if } \alpha > \beta \end{cases}$$

and  $S_\Omega$  denotes the area of the domain  $\Omega$ . Combining the above result with (10),(11), (18), (19) we get an asymptotic formula for  $\#\mathcal{T}_+(R)$  :

$$\#\mathcal{T}_+(R) = \frac{R^2}{\zeta(2)} S_\Omega \cdot I(\alpha, \beta) + O(R^{2-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R).$$

To prove the asymptotic formula for  $\#\mathcal{T}_-(R)$ , we proceed similarly to (7). We deduce

$$\#\mathcal{T}_-(R) = \sum_{q < R} \sum_{u,v=1}^q \delta_q(uv + 1),$$

where

$$u \leq q \tan(\varphi_0) - 1/v, (q, u) \in \Omega_{\alpha R}, (vq, uv-1) \in \Omega_{\beta q R}, (q(q+v), u(q+v)-1) \notin \Omega_{qR}.$$

According to (8)-(11) we have  $\mathcal{T}_-(R) = S(R, \beta, \alpha) + O(R)$ . Then

$$\#\mathcal{T}_-(R) = \frac{R^2}{\zeta(2)} S_\Omega \cdot I(\beta, \alpha) + O(R^{2-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R).$$

At last we note, that  $I(\alpha, \beta) + I(\beta, \alpha) = \mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta)$ ; and by Lemma 1 for  $\#\Phi(R)$  we obtain the asymptotics

$$\#\Phi(R) = \frac{R^2}{\zeta(2)} S_\Omega \cdot \mathcal{I}(\alpha, \beta) + O(R^{2-\frac{1}{3}} \log^{\frac{2}{3}} R). \quad (20)$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 follows from (20) and the asymptotic formula for  $\#\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{F}(\Omega, R) &= \sum_{\substack{(x,y) \in F(\Omega, R) \\ \text{g.c.d.}(x,y)=1}} 1 = \sum_{(x,y) \in F(\Omega, R)} \sum_{\delta | \text{g.c.d.}(x,y)} \mu(\delta) = \sum_{\delta < R} \mu(\delta) \sum_{(x,y) \in F(\Omega, R/\delta)} 1 = \\ &= R^2 \cdot S_\Omega \sum_{\delta < R} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^2} + O(R \log(R)) = \frac{R^2}{\zeta(2)} \cdot S_\Omega + O(R \log(R)). \end{aligned}$$

### 4. Acknowledgements

I am grateful to N.G.Moshchevitin for his helpful comments and useful remarks.

### References

- [1] F.P.Boca F. P., Cobeli C., Zaharescu A. *Distribution of lattice points visible from the origin*, Comm. Math. Phys., 20, 2000, 433–470

- [2] A. Ustinov, *On the distribution of integer points*, Dal'nevost. Mat. Zh., 9 (2009), 176-181 (in Russian).
- [3] A. Ustinov, *On the number of solutions of the congruence  $xy \equiv l \pmod{q}$  under the graph of a twice continuously differentiable function*, Algebra i Analiz, 20:5 (2008), 186-216.