

# Limiting Distribution of Frobenius Numbers for $n = 3$

V. Shchur\*      Ya. Sinai†      A. Ustinov‡

## 1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give a complete derivation of the limiting distribution of large Frobenius numbers outlined in [1] and fill some gaps formulated there as hypotheses. We start with the basic definitions and descriptions of some results.

Consider  $n$  mutually coprime positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ . This means that there is no  $r > 1$  such that each  $a_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , is divisible by  $r$ . Take  $N$  which later will tend to infinity and will be our main large parameter. Introduce the ensemble  $Q_N$  of mutually coprime  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $1 \leq a_j \leq N$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and  $P_N$  be the uniform probability distribution on  $Q_N$ . For each  $a \in Q_N$  denote by  $F(a)$  the largest integer number that is not representable in the form  $x = x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_n a_n$ , where  $x_j$  are non-negative integers.  $F(a)$  can be considered as a random variable defined on  $Q_N$ . The basic problem which will be discussed in this paper is the existence and the form of the limiting distribution for the normalized Frobenius number  $f(a) = \frac{1}{N^{1+1/n}} F(a)$ . The reason for this normalization will be explained below.

The case of  $n = 2$  is simple in view of the classical result of Sylvester (see [7]) according to which  $F(a_1, a_2) = a_1 a_2 - a_1 - a_2$ . It shows that in a typical situation  $F$  grows as  $N^2$ . The first non-trivial case is  $n = 3$  where  $F(a)$  grows as  $N^{3/2}$ . It is known (see [11]) that the numbers  $F(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  have weak asymptotics:

$$\frac{1}{x_1 x_2 a_3^{7/2}} \sum_{a_1 \leq x_1 a_3} \sum_{a_2 \leq x_2 a_3} \left( F(a_1, a_2, a_3) - \frac{8}{\pi} \sqrt{a_1 a_2 a_3} \right) = O_{x_1, x_2, \varepsilon} \left( a_3^{-1/6+\varepsilon} \right)$$

(i.e. average value of  $F(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  over small cube with the center  $(a, b, c)$  is equal to  $\frac{8}{\pi} \sqrt{abc}$ ). For arbitrary  $n$  the following theorem was proven in [1].

**Theorem 1.** *Under some additional technical condition (see [1]) the family of probability distributions of  $f(a) = \frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}} F(a)$  is weakly compact. This means that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\varepsilon)$  such that*

$$P_N \left\{ \frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}} F(a) \leq \mathcal{D} \right\} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

---

\*Mathematics Department, Moscow State University, Russia, vladimir@chg.ru

†Mathematics Department, Princeton University, USA, sinai@math.princeton.edu

‡Khabarovsk Division of Institute for Applied Mathematics, Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Science, Russia, ustinov@iam.khv.ru

In this theorem  $\varepsilon, \mathcal{D}$  do not depend on  $N$ . It also implies the existence of the limiting points (in the sense of weak convergence) for the sequence of probability distributions of  $f_N(a)$ . As was already mentioned, in this paper we shall study the limiting distribution of  $f_N(a) = \frac{1}{N^{3/2}}F(a)$ ,  $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . This distribution is not universal and will be described below.

Take any  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ , and consider its expansion into continued fraction

$$\rho = [0; h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s, \dots] \quad (1)$$

where  $h_j \geq 1$  are integers. If  $\rho$  is rational then the continued fraction (1) is finite. The finite continued fractions  $\rho_s = [0; h_1, \dots, h_s] = \frac{p_s}{q_s}$  are called the  $s$ -approximants of  $\rho$ . The numbers  $q_s$  satisfy initial conditions  $q_0 = 1$ ,  $q_1 = h_1$  and recurrent relations

$$q_s = h_s q_{s-1} + q_{s-2}, \quad s \geq 2. \quad (2)$$

Introduce the Gauss measure on  $[0, 1]$  given by the density  $\pi(x) = \frac{1}{\ln 2(1+x)}$ . Then the elements of the continued fraction (1) become random variables. It is well known that their probability distributions are stationary in the sense that the distribution of any  $h_{m-k}, h_{m-k+1}, \dots, h_m, \dots, h_{m+k}$  does not depend on  $m$ . We shall need the values of  $s = s_1$ , such that  $q_{s_1}$  is the first  $q_s$  greater than  $\sqrt{N}$ . It was proven in [6] that  $q_{s_1}/\sqrt{N}$  have a limiting distribution as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . More precisely, the following theorem holds true.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k$  be fixed and  $s(R)$  be the first number for which  $q_s \geq R$ . As  $R \rightarrow \infty$  there exists the joint limiting distribution of  $\frac{q_{s(R)}}{R}$ ,  $h_{s(R)-k}, \dots, h_{s(R)+k}$ .*

In the paper [10] the analytic form of this distribution was given.

Consider the sub-ensemble  $Q_N^{(0)} \subset Q_N$  for which  $a_1, a_3$  are coprime. Then there exists  $a_1^{-1} \pmod{a_3}$ ,  $1 \leq a_1^{-1} < a_3$ . Denote  $\rho = \frac{a_1^{-1} a_2 \pmod{a_3}}{a_3}$ . The expansion of  $\rho$  into continued fraction will be need below. Clearly,  $\rho$  is a rational number. However, the following theorem is valid.

**Theorem 3.** *As before, consider  $s_1$  such that  $q_{s_1-1} < \sqrt{N} < q_{s_1}$ . Then in the sub-ensemble  $Q_N^{(0)}$  equipped with the uniform measure and for any  $k > 0$  in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  there exists the joint limiting probability distribution of  $\frac{q_{s_1}}{\sqrt{N}}$ ,  $h_{s_1-k}, \dots, h_{s_1+k}$  which coincides with the distribution in Theorem 2.*

A stronger version of theorem 3 is also valid.

**Theorem 4.** *Let the first elements of the continued fraction for  $\rho$  are given:  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l$ . Then as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the conditional distribution of  $\frac{q_{s_1}}{\sqrt{N}}$ ,  $h_{s_1-k}, \dots, h_{s_1+k}$  converges to the same limit as in Theorems 2 and 3.*

All these theorems are proven in section 3. Now we can formulate the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 5.** *There exists the limiting distribution of  $f_N(a) = f_N((a_1, a_2, a_3))$ ,  $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in Q_N$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

The proof of the main theorem is given in section 2. First we consider the sub-ensemble  $Q_N^{(0)}$  and then explain how to extend the proof to  $Q_N$ .

Recently J. Marklof using different methods proved the existence of the limiting distribution of  $\frac{1}{N^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}} F(a)$  for any  $n$  (see [3]).

The second author thanks NSF for the financial support, grant DMS No. 0600996. The research of the third author was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 07-01-00306), the Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project No. 09-I-II4-03), Dynasty Foundation and the Russian Science Support Foundation.

## 2 The limiting Distribution of $f_N(a)$ .

Return back to the case of arbitrary  $n$ . Introduce arithmetic progressions

$$\Pi_r = \{r + ma_n, m \geq 0\}, \quad 0 \leq r < a_n.$$

For non-negative integers  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  such that  $x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_{n-1} a_{n-1} \in \Pi_r$  we write

$$x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} a_{n-1} = r + m(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) a_n.$$

Define  $\bar{m}(r) = \min_{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}} m(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(a) &= \max_{0 \leq r < a_n} \min_{\substack{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \\ x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} a_{n-1} \in \Pi_r}} (r + m(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) a_n) \\ &= \max_{0 \leq r < a_n} \min_{x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} a_{n-1} \equiv r \pmod{a_n}} (x_1 a_1 + \dots + x_{n-1} a_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It was proven in [4] that  $F(a) = F_1(a) - a_n$ . A slightly weaker statement can be found in [1]. Since in a typical situation  $a_j$  grow as  $N$  while  $F_1(a)$  grows as  $N^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}$  (see also [BS]) the limiting behavior of  $\frac{F(a)}{N^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}$  and  $\frac{F_1(a)}{N^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}$  is the same, but the analysis of  $\frac{F_1(a)}{N^{1+\frac{1}{n-1}}}$  is slightly simpler. Let us write for  $n = 3$

$$x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 = r + m(x_1, x_2) a_3$$

or

$$x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 \equiv r \pmod{a_3} \tag{3}$$

Assume that  $a_1, a_3$  are coprime. Then there exists  $a_1^{-1}$ ,  $1 \leq a_1^{-1} < a_3$ , such that  $a_1 \cdot a_1^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{a_3}$ . Choose  $a_1^{-1}$  so that  $1 \leq a_1^{-1} < a_3$  and rewrite (3) as follows

$$x_1 + a_{12} x_2 \equiv r_1 \pmod{a_3} \tag{4}$$

where  $a_{12} \equiv a_1^{-1} a_2 \pmod{a_3}$ ,  $0 < a_{12} < a_3$  and  $r_1 \equiv r a_1^{-1} \pmod{a_3}$ ,  $0 \leq r_1 < a_3$ . From (4)

$$a_{12} x_2 \equiv (r_1 - x_1) \pmod{a_3} \tag{5}$$

The expression (5) has a nice geometric interpretation. Consider  $S = [0, 1, \dots, a_3 - 1]$  as a “discrete circle”. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the rotation of this circle by  $a_{12}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{R}x = x + a_{12} \pmod{a_3}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}^p x = x + pa_{12} \pmod{a_3}$  and (5) means that  $r_1 - x_1$  belongs to the orbit of 0 under the action of  $\mathcal{R}$ . From the definition of  $F_1(a)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(a) &= \max_{0 \leq r < a_3} \min_{\substack{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 \equiv r \pmod{a_3} \\ 0 \leq x_1, x_2 < a_3}} (x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2) = \\ &= N^{3/2} \max_{0 \leq r_1 < a_3} \min_{x_1 + x_2 a_{12} \equiv r_1 \pmod{a_3}} \left( \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{a_1}{N} + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{a_2}{N} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Choose  $h^{(j)} = (h_1^{(j)}, \dots, h_m^{(j)})$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , and denote by  $Q_{N, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}}^{(0)}$  the ensemble of  $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in Q_N^{(0)}$  such that the first  $m$  elements of the continued fraction of  $\frac{a_j}{N}$  are given by  $h^j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . This step means the localization of the ensemble  $Q_N^{(0)}$ . It is easy to see that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find rational  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  and  $N$  such that  $|\frac{a_j}{N} - \alpha_j| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ . Then in (6) one can replace  $\frac{a_j}{N}$  by  $\alpha_j$ . Since  $\frac{x_j}{\sqrt{N}}$  will take the values  $O(1)$  the whole expression in (6) takes values  $O(1)$  and instead of (6) we consider

$$\max_{r_1} \min_{x_1 + a_{12} x_2 \equiv r_1 \pmod{a_3}} \left( \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}} \alpha_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}} \alpha_2 \right) \quad (7)$$

with the error  $O(\varepsilon)$ . We assume that in  $Q_{N, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, h^{(3)}}^{(0)}$  we also have the uniform distribution.

We shall need some facts from the theory of rotations of the circle. According to our assumption  $a_{12}$  and  $a_3$  are coprime. Therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  is ergodic in the sense that  $\mathcal{R}^{a_3} = Id$  and  $a_3$  is the smallest number with this property. Put  $\rho = \frac{a_{12}}{a_3}$  and write down the expansion of  $\rho$  into continued fraction:  $\rho = [h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{s_0}]$ . Also let  $\rho_s = [h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s] = \frac{p_s}{q_s}$  and  $s_1$  be such that  $q_{s_1-1} < \sqrt{N} < q_{s_1}$ .

It will be more convenient to consider the usual unit circle instead of  $S$  and use the same letter  $\mathcal{R}$  for the rotation of the unit circle by  $\rho$ . Introduce the interval  $\Delta_0^{(p)}$  bounded by 0 and  $\{q_p \rho\}$  and  $\Delta_j^{(p)} = \mathcal{R}^j \Delta_0^{(p)}$ . Using the induction one can show that  $\Delta_j^{(p)}$ ,  $0 \leq j < q_{p+1}$  and  $\Delta_{j'}^{(p+1)}$ ,  $0 \leq j' < q_p$  are pair-wise disjoint and their union is the whole circle except the boundary points (see [5]). Denote by  $\eta^{(p)}$  the partition of the unit circle onto  $\Delta_j^{(p)}$ ,  $\Delta_{j'}^{(p+1)}$ . Then  $\eta^{(p+1)} \geq \eta^{(p)}$  in the sense that each element of  $\eta^{(p)}$  consists of several elements of  $\eta^{(p+1)}$ . More precisely,  $\Delta_0^{(p-1)}$  consists of  $h_p$  elements  $\Delta_j^{(p)}$  and one element  $\Delta_0^{(p+1)}$ . The partitions  $\eta^{(p)}$  show how the orbit of 0 fills the circle.

Return back to the discrete circle  $S$ . The partitions  $\eta^{(p)}$  can be constructed in the same way as before. We have to analyze

$$\max_{0 \leq r_1 < a_3} \min_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \\ x_1 + a_{12} x_2 \equiv r_1 \pmod{a_3}}} \left( \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}} \alpha_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}} \alpha_2 \right) \quad (8)$$

for given  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ ,  $0 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < 1$ .

**Lemma 1.** *There exists some number  $C_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = C_1$  such that for any  $r_1$  the point  $x_1$  giving  $\min\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_2\right)$  under the condition  $x_1 + a_{12}x_2 \equiv r_1 \pmod{a_3}$  is such that  $r_1 - x_1$  is an end-point of some element  $\eta^{(s_1+m_1)}$  where  $m_1 \geq 0$  and  $q_{s_1+m_1}/q_{s_1} \leq C_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $y_1$  so that  $r_1 - y_1$  is an end-point of some element  $\eta^{(s_1)}$  and find  $y_2$  for which  $r_1 - y_1 \equiv a_{12}y_2 \pmod{a_3}$ . Then both  $y_1, y_2$  satisfy the inequalities  $|y_1| \leq C_2 \cdot q^{(s_1)}, |y_2| \leq C_2 \cdot q^{(s_1)}$  where  $C_2$  is another constant depending on the elements of our continued fraction near  $s_1$  and  $\frac{y_1}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1 + \frac{y_2}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_2 < 2C_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ . If  $r_1 - x_1$  is the end-point of some element of  $\eta^{(s_1+m_1)}$  which is not the end-point of some element of  $\eta^{(s_1+m_1-1)}$  then  $\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_2 \geq 2C_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  and the pair  $(x_1, x_2)$  cannot give the solution of our max-min problem. This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Its meaning is the following. If  $r_1 - x_1$  is an end-point of  $\eta^{(s_1+m_1)}$  with too big  $m_1$  then  $x_2$  is also too big. The next lemma shows that  $x_1$  also cannot be too big.

**Lemma 2.** *There exists an integer  $m_2 > 0$  depending on  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ , the ratio  $q_{s_1}/N$  and the elements of the continued fraction  $h_{s_1}, h_{s_1+1}, \dots, h_{s_1+m_2}$  of  $\rho$  such that for any  $r_1$  the interval  $[r_1 - x_1, r_1]$  corresponding to the minimum of*

$$\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_2$$

*has not more than  $m_2$  elements of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$ .*

The proof is also simple. If  $x_1$  is such that  $[r_1 - x_1, r_1]$  is an element of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$  then

$$\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_2 \leq C_3$$

where  $C_3$  is a number of depending on the values of parameters given in the formulation of the lemma. On the other hand if  $[r_1 - x_1, r_1]$  consists of  $m$  elements of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$  then

$$\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1 + \frac{x_2}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_2 \geq \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1 = \frac{m\ell}{\sqrt{N}}\alpha_1$$

where  $\ell$  is the minimal length of the elements of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$ . Therefore

$$\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{q_s}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot \frac{\ell}{q_s} \geq C_4$$

where  $C_4$  is another constant. If  $m$  is so large that  $mC_4\alpha_1 > C_3$  then the corresponding  $x_1, x_2$  cannot give the solution of the main max-min problem.

The values of  $q_{s_1}/\sqrt{N}$  and  $h_{s_1}, h_{s_1+1}, \dots, h_{s_1+m_2}$  determine the structure of the partitions  $\eta^{(s_1)}, \dots, \eta^{(s_1+m_2)}$ . The conclusion which follows from both lemmas is that for each  $r_1$  we check only finitely many  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and find  $\min(x_1\alpha_1 + x_2\alpha_2)$  among them. The number of points which have to be checked depends on  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \frac{q_{s_1}}{\sqrt{N}}$  and  $h_{s_1}, \dots, h_{s_1+m_2}$ .

Now we remark that  $r_1$  must be also an end-point of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$ . Indeed, if  $r_1$  increases within some element of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$  then the set of values  $r_1 - x_1$  which have to be checked remain the same. The maximum over  $r_1$  is attained at the end-point of this element  $\eta^{(s_1)}$  because  $r_1 - x_1$  is a monotone increasing function of  $r_1$ .

The last step in the proof is the final choice of  $r_1$ . As was mentioned above  $r_1$  must be an end-point of some element of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$  and  $\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{N}}$  takes finitely many values. Therefore  $r_1$  should be chosen so that  $x_2/\sqrt{N}$  takes the largest possible value. Take the last point  $r'_1 = \mathcal{R}^{q_{s_1}-1}0$  on the orbit of 0 of the length  $q_{s_1}$ . Assume for definiteness that  $r'_1$  lies to the left from 0. Consider  $m_2$  elements of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$  which start from  $r'_1$  and go left. Then  $r_1$  must be one of the end-points of these elements. Indeed, if  $r_1$  lies more to the left from 0 then the values  $x_1$  take finitely many values and  $x_2$  will be significantly smaller. Therefore it cannot give maximum over  $r$  of our basic linear form.

Thus we take  $m_2$  elements of  $\eta^{(s_1)}$ , consider their end-points. Each end-point is a possible value of  $r$ . Taking finitely many  $x_1$  (see Lemma 1 and 2) we find minimum of our basic linear form. After that we find  $r$  for which this minimum takes maximal value. In this way we get the solution of our max-min problem. It is clear that this solution is a function of  $\frac{q_{s_1}}{\sqrt{N}}$  and the elements  $h_j, s_1 \leq j \leq s_1 + m_1$  of the continued fraction of  $\rho$  near  $s_1$ . Since  $\frac{q_{s_1}}{\sqrt{N}}$  and  $h_j, s_1 \leq j \leq s_1 + m_1$  have limiting distribution as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the number  $f_N(a) = \frac{1}{N^{3/2}}F_1(a)$  has also a limiting distribution.

It remains to extend our proof to the case when the pairs from  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  have non-trivial common divisors, say  $k_1$  is *gcd* of  $a_1, a_3$  and  $k_2$  is *gcd* of  $a_2, a_3$ . The same methods which are used in the proof of the existence of the limiting density of the ensemble  $Q_N$  allow to prove the existence of the limiting distribution of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ . Fixing  $k_1, k_2$ , we can write  $a_1 = k_1 a'_1, a_2 = k_2 a'_2, a_3 = k_1 k_2 a'_3$  where  $a'_1, a'_3$  are coprime,  $a'_2, a_3$  are coprime and  $k_1, k_2$  are coprime. This implies that  $(a'_1)^{-1} \pmod{a'_3}$  exists and we can multiply both sides of (3) by  $(a'_1)^{-1}$ . This will give

$$k_1 x_1 + k_2 a'_2 \cdot x_2 \equiv r_1 \pmod{a_3} \quad (9)$$

where  $r_1 = r \cdot (a'_1)^{-1} \pmod{a_3}$ . Denote  $b = a'_2 (a'_1)^{-1}$ .

Then from (9) we have the linear form

$$k_1 x_1 + k_2 b x_2 \equiv r_1 \pmod{a_3} \quad (10)$$

which we can treat in the same way as before.

### 3 Statistical properties of continued fractions

Statistical properties of elements of continued fractions usually are identical for real numbers and for rationales with bounded denominators (see [8]–[10]).

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a set of integer matrices  $S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix}$  with determinant  $\det S = \pm 1$  such that  $1 \leq Q \leq Q'$ ,  $0 \leq P \leq Q$ ,  $1 \leq P' \leq Q'$ . For real  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  the fractions  $P/Q$  and  $P'/Q'$  with  $S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$  will be consecutive convergents to  $\alpha$  (distinct from  $\alpha$ ) if and only if

$$0 < \frac{Q'\alpha - P'}{-Q\alpha + P} = S^{-1}(\alpha) < 1$$

(see [8, lemma 1]). Moreover if  $\alpha = [0; h_1, h_2, \dots]$  then for some  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P}{Q} &= [0; h_1, \dots, h_{s-1}], & \frac{P'}{Q'} &= [0; h_1, \dots, h_s], \\ \frac{Q}{Q'} &= [0; h_s, \dots, h_1], & \frac{Q'\alpha - P'}{-Q\alpha + P} &= [0; h_{s+1}, h_{s+2}, \dots]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It means that distribution of partial quotients  $h_{s-k}, \dots, a_{h+k}$  depends on Gauss-Kuz'min statistics of fractions  $Q/Q'$  and  $(Q'\alpha - P')/(-Q\alpha + P)$ .

For real  $\alpha, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in (0, 1)$  denote by  $N_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2}(\alpha, R)$  the number of solutions of the following system of inequalities

$$0 < S^{-1}(\alpha) \leq x_1, \quad Q \leq x_2 Q', \quad Q \leq y_1 R, \quad R \leq y_2 Q', \quad (12)$$

with variables  $P, P', Q, Q'$  such that  $S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let

$$N(R) = N_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2}(R) = \int_0^1 N_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2}(\alpha, R) d\alpha$$

and

$$F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log(1 + x_1 x_2) \log \frac{y_1 y_2}{x_2} - \text{Li}_2(-x_1 x_2) \right), & \text{if } x_2 \leq y_1 y_2; \\ -\frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \text{Li}_2(-x_1 y_1 y_2), & \text{if } x_2 > y_1 y_2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\text{Li}_2(\cdot)$  is dilogarithm

$$\text{Li}_2(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^2} = - \int_0^z \frac{\log(1-t)}{t} dt.$$

The next statement implies Theorem 2.

**Proposition 1.** For  $R \geq 2$ ,

$$N(R) = F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) + O\left(\frac{x_1 \log R}{R}\right).$$

*Proof.* For every number  $\alpha = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  we can find unique matrix  $S \in \mathcal{M}$  with elements  $P, P', Q, Q'$  defined by (11) with additional restriction  $Q \leq R < Q'$ . Inequalities  $0 < S^{-1}(\alpha) \leq x_1$  define interval  $I_{x_1}(S) \subset (0, 1)$  of the length

$$|I_{x_1}(S)| = \left| \frac{P' + x_1 P}{Q' + x_1 Q} - \frac{P'}{Q'} \right| = \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)}.$$

Hence

$$N(R) = \sum_{\substack{(P \ P') \\ (Q \ Q') \in \mathcal{M}}} [Q \leq x_2 Q', Q \leq y_1 R, R \leq y_2 Q'] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)},$$

where  $[A]$  is equal to 1 if statement  $A$  is true, and it is equal to 0 otherwise. Second row  $(Q, Q')$  can be complemented to the matrix from  $\mathcal{M}$  in two ways. That is why

$$N(R) = 2 \sum_{Q' \geq R/y_2} \sum_{(Q, Q')=1} [Q \leq x_2 Q', Q \leq y_1 R] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)}. \quad (13)$$

In the first case  $x_2 \leq y_1 y_2$  and the Möbius inversion formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} N(R) &= 2 \sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \sum_{R/(y_2 d) \leq Q' < y_1 R/(x_2 d)} \sum_{Q \leq x_2 Q'} \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + \\ &+ 2 \sum_{d \leq R} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^2} \sum_{Q' \geq y_1 R/(x_2 d)} \sum_{Q \leq y_1 R/d} \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} = \\ &= \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log(1 + x_1 x_2) \log \frac{y_1 y_2}{x_2} + \int_{1/(x_1 x_2)}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{t} \right) \frac{dt}{t} \right) + O \left( \frac{x_1 \log R}{R} \right) = \\ &= \frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \left( \log(1 + x_1 x_2) \log \frac{y_1 y_2}{x_2} - \text{Li}_2(-x_1 x_2) \right) + O \left( \frac{x_1 \log R}{R} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Second case  $x_2 > y_1 y_2$  can be treated in the same way.  $\square$

Let

$$L(R) = L_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2}(R) = \sum_{b \leq R^2} \sum_{\substack{a \leq b \\ (a, b)=1}} N_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2} \left( \frac{a}{b}, R \right).$$

Theorem 3 will be proved in the following form.

**Proposition 2.** For  $R \geq 2$ ,

$$\frac{2\zeta(2)}{R^4} L(R) = F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) + O \left( \frac{x_1 \log^2 R}{R} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = a/b$  be a given number and  $S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$  is a solution of the system (12). Define by  $m$  and  $n$  such integers that  $mP + nP' = a, mQ + nQ' = b$ . Then the system (12) can be written in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} mP + nP' &= a, & mQ + nQ' &= b, \\ 0 < m/n &\leq x_1, & 0 < Q/Q' &\leq x_2, & Q &\leq y_1 R, & R &\leq y_2 Q'. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up solutions of this system over  $a$  and  $b$  we get that the sum  $L(R)$  is equal to the number of solutions of the following system

$$mQ + nQ' \leq R^2, \quad 0 < m/n \leq x_1, \quad 0 < Q/Q' \leq x_2, \quad Q/y_1 \leq R < y_2 Q',$$



where  $\begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n$ ,  $(m, n) = 1$ . For known  $Q$  and  $Q'$  the values of  $P$  and  $P'$  can be founded in two ways. The number of solutions of the last system is equal to the area of corresponding domain multiplied by  $1/\zeta(2)$  (see [13, Chapter II, Problems 21–22])

$$\frac{R^4}{2\zeta(2)} \cdot \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1Q)} + O\left(\frac{x_1 R^2 \log R}{Q'}\right).$$

It leads to the sum similar to (13):

$$L(R) = \frac{R^4}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{R/y_2 \leq Q' \leq R^2} \sum_{\substack{Q \leq \min\{y_1 R, x_2 Q'\} \\ (Q, Q')=1}} \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1Q)} + O(x_1 R^3 \log^2 R).$$

Therefore

$$L(R) = \frac{R^4}{\zeta(2)} N(R) + O(x_1 R^3 \log^2 R),$$

and Proposition 2 follows from Proposition 1. □

In order to prove Theorem 4 we have to use Kloosterman sums

$$K_q(m, n) = \sum_{x, y=1}^q \delta_q(xy - 1) e^{2\pi i \frac{mx + ny}{q}}.$$

Using Estermann bound (see [2])

$$|K_q(m, n)| \leq \sigma_0(q) \cdot (m, n, q)^{1/2} \cdot q^{1/2}.$$

it is easy to prove the following statement (see [9] for details).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $q \geq 1$  be an integer,  $Q_1, Q_2, P_1, P_2$  be real numbers and  $0 \leq P_1, P_2 \leq q$ . Then the sum*

$$\Phi_q(Q_1, Q_2; P_1, P_2) = \sum_{\substack{Q_1 < u \leq Q_1 + P_1 \\ Q_2 < v \leq Q_2 + P_2}} \delta_q(uv - 1)$$

satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$\Phi_q(Q_1, Q_2; P_1, P_2) = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \cdot P_1 P_2 + O(\psi(q)),$$

where

$$\psi(q) = \sigma_0(q) \log^2(q + 1) q^{1/2}.$$

It implies more general result (see [8]).

**Lemma 4.** Let  $q \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $a(u, v)$  be a function that is defined in integral points  $(u, v)$  such that  $1 \leq u, v \leq q$ . Assume that this function satisfies the inequalities

$$a(u, v) \geq 0, \quad \Delta_{1,0}a(u, v) \leq 0, \quad \Delta_{0,1}a(u, v) \leq 0, \quad \Delta_{1,1}a(u, v) \geq 0 \quad (14)$$

at all points at which these conditions are meaningful. Then the sum

$$W = \sum_{u,v=1}^q \delta_q(uv - 1)a(u, v)$$

satisfies the asymptotic relation

$$W = \frac{\varphi(q)}{q^2} \sum_{u,v=1}^q a(u, v) + O(A\psi(q)\sqrt{q}),$$

where  $\psi(q)$  is the function from lemma 3 and  $A = a(1, 1)$  is the maximum of the function  $a(u, v)$ .

Let

$$N_z(R) = N_{z,x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2}(R) = \int_0^z N_{x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2}(\alpha, R) d\alpha,$$

$$L_z(R) = L_{z,x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2}(R) = \sum_{b \leq R^2} \sum_{\substack{a \leq zb \\ (a,b)=1}} N_{x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2}\left(\frac{a}{b}, R\right).$$

The next statement implies Theorem 4.

**Proposition 3.** For  $R \geq 2$ ,

$$N_z(R) = z \cdot F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) + O\left(\frac{x_1 \log^3 R}{R^{1/2}}\right),$$

$$\frac{2\zeta(2)}{R^4} L_z(R) = z \cdot F(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) + O\left(\frac{x_1 \log^3 R}{R^{1/2}}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\mathcal{M}_z = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M} : \frac{P'}{Q'} \leq z \right\}.$$

For a given  $z$  there is at most one matrix  $S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $Q \leq R < Q'$  and  $z \in I_{x_1}(S)$ . Hence

$$N_z(R) = \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_z} [Q \leq x_2 Q', Q \leq y_1 R, R \leq y_2 Q'] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + O\left(\frac{x_1}{R^2}\right).$$

If  $Q'$  is fixed then  $P'$  and  $Q$  satisfy the congruence  $P'Q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{Q'}$ . Therefore

$$N_z(R) = \sum_{Q' \geq R/y_2} \sum_{P', Q=1}^{Q'} \delta_{Q'}(P'Q \pm 1) [Q \leq \min\{x_2 Q', y_1 R\}, P' \leq zQ'] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + O\left(\frac{x_1}{R^2}\right).$$

Using Lemma 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_z(R) &= \sum_{Q' \geq R/y_2} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{(Q')^2} \sum_{P', Q=1}^{Q'} [Q \leq \min\{x_2 Q', y_1 R\}, P' \leq zQ'] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{x_1 \log^3 R}{R^{1/2}}\right) = \\ &= z \sum_{Q' \geq R/y_2} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{Q'} \sum_{Q=1}^{Q'} [Q \leq \min\{x_2 Q', y_1 R\}] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{x_1 \log^3 R}{R^{1/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the formula

$$\frac{\varphi(Q')}{Q'} = \sum_{d|Q'} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \quad (15)$$

we get the same sum as in the proof of Proposition 1.

As in Proposition 2 the sum  $L_z(R)$  is equal to the number of solutions of the system

$$\begin{aligned} mQ + nQ' &\leq R^2, & mP + nP' &\leq z(mQ + nQ'), \\ 0 < m/n &\leq x_1, & 0 < Q/Q' &\leq x_2, & Q/y_1 &\leq R < y_2 Q', \end{aligned}$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq n$ ,  $(m, n) = 1$ . Again, there is at most one matrix  $S = \begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $Q \leq R < Q'$  and  $z \in I_{x_1}(S)$ . Also for  $Q' \geq R$ ,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m \leq x_1 n} [mQ + nQ' \leq R^2] \ll x_1 R^2.$$

This estimate implies that

$$\begin{aligned} L_z(R) &= \frac{R^4}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\begin{pmatrix} P & P' \\ Q & Q' \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_z} [R/y_2 \leq Q' \leq R^2, Q \leq \min\{y_1 R, x_2 Q'\}] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + \\ &+ O(x_1 R^3 \log^2 R) = \\ &= \frac{R^4}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{R/y_2 \leq Q' \leq R^2} \sum_{P', Q=1}^{Q'} [Q \leq \min\{y_1 R, x_2 Q'\}, P' \leq zQ'] \frac{x_1 \delta_{Q'}(P'Q \pm 1)}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + \\ &+ O(x_1 R^3 \log^2 R). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4 one more time we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_z(R) &= \frac{R^4}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{Q' \geq R/y_2} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{(Q')^2} \sum_{P', Q=1}^{Q'} [Q \leq \min\{x_2 Q', y_1 R\}, P' \leq zQ'] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + \\
&\quad + O\left(x_1 R^{7/2} \log^3 R\right) = \\
&= \frac{zR^4}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{Q' \geq R/y_2} \frac{\varphi(Q')}{Q'} \sum_{Q=1}^{Q'} [Q \leq \min\{x_2 Q', y_1 R\}] \frac{x_1}{Q'(Q' + x_1 Q)} + \\
&\quad + O\left(x_1 R^{7/2} \log^3 R\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying formula (15) we get the same sum as in proof of Proposition 1.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *In the simplest case  $x_2 = y_1 = y_2 = 1$  we have cumulative distribution function*

$$F(x) = F(x, 1, 1, 1) = -\frac{2}{\zeta(2)} \text{Li}_2(-x),$$

*which is not equal to the Gaussian function  $\log_2(1+x)$ . As  $x \rightarrow 0$  the function  $F(x)$  (with error terms in Propositions 1 and 2) decreases as a linear function  $F(x) \sim 2x/\zeta(2)$ . This fact implies that the expectation of the partial quotient  $a_s$  (defined by the inequalities  $q_{s-1} \leq R < q_s$ ) equals to infinity.*

## 4 Concluding remarks

Methods of the work [11] allow to prove that normalized Frobenius numbers  $F(a, b, c)/\sqrt{abc}$  have the following limit density function (see [12])

$$p(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \in [0, \sqrt{3}]; \\ \frac{12}{\pi} \left( \frac{t}{\sqrt{3}} - \sqrt{4-t^2} \right), & \text{if } t \in [\sqrt{3}, 2]; \\ \frac{12}{\pi^2} \left( t\sqrt{3} \arccos \frac{t+3\sqrt{t^2-4}}{4\sqrt{t^2-3}} + \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{t^2-4} \log \frac{t^2-4}{t^2-3} \right), & \text{if } t \in [2, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

## References

- [1] BOURGAIN J., SINAI YA. G. Limiting behavior of large Frobenius numbers. — *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **62** (2007), no. 4(376), 77–90; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* **62** (2007), no. 4, 713–725.
- [2] ESTERMANN T. On Kloosterman’s sum. — *Mathematika*, **8** (1961), 83–86.
- [3] MARKLOF J. The Asymptotic Distribution of Frobenius Numbers. Preprint, Bristol University (2009).
- [4] SELMER E.S., BEYER O. On the linear diophantine problem of Frobenius in three variables. — *J. Reine Angewandte Math.*, 301 (1978), 161–170.

- [5] SINAI YA.G. Topics in Ergodic Theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1994), 218.
- [6] SINAI YA. G., ULCIGRAI C. Renewal-type limit theorem for Gauss map and continued fractions. — *Ergodic Theory & Dynam. Sys.*, **28** (2008), 643-655.
- [7] SYLVESTER J.J. Problem 7382. — *Educational Times* 37 (1884), 26; reprinted in: Mathematical questions with their solution, *Educational Times* (with additional papers and solutions) 41 (1884), 21.
- [8] USTINOV A. V. On the statistical properties of finite continued fractions — *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **322** (2005), Trudy po Teorii Chisel, 186–211; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **137** (2006), no. 2, 4722–4738.
- [9] USTINOV A. V. *On the number of solutions of the congruence  $xy \equiv l \pmod{q}$  under twice differentiable curve* — *Algebra and Analysis* **20**: 5 (2008), 186–216.
- [10] USTINOV A. V. *On the Statistical Properties of Elements of Continued Fractions* — *Doklady Mathematics*, **79**: 1 (2009), 87-89.
- [11] USTINOV A. V. *The solution of Arnold's problem on weak asymptotic for Frobenius numbers with three arguments*, — *Mat. Sb.*, **200**: 4 (2009), 131-160.
- [12] USTINOV A. V. *On the distribution of Frobenius numbers with three arguments* — *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, (submitted).
- [13] VINOGRADOV I. M. *Elements of number theory*. — Moscow: “Nauka”, 1972.